

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alloxandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/060,872		04/15/1998	DAVID A. ESTELL	GC527	1073		
	5100	7590 07/14/2003					
		RINTERNATIONAL, IN		EXAMI	NER		
	ATTENTION: LEGAL DEPARTMENT 925 PAGE MILL ROAD			SAUNDERS, DAVID A			
	PALO ALTO,	CA 94304		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1644			
				DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003	46		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.  O60 672 EST E		u et D			
Office Action Summary						
	Examiner SAU~ DA	ر ا ا	Group Art			
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	eneath the co	orresponde	nce ad	dress	
Period for Reply	7					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S)	FROM TH	E MAIL	ING DATE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.</li> </ul>	within the statutory minimorpire SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30) on the mailing date	days will be c	onsidere nunicatio	d timely.	
Status	<u></u>					
Hesponsive to communication(s) filed on 5/2/3	)				•	
☐ This action is FINAL.						
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (			the merits	is clos	ed in	
Disposition of Claims						
LOHAIM(s) 17-23 29-32		is/are p	ending in t	he appl	ication.	
Of the above claim(s)		is/are v	vithdrawn fr	rom cor	sideration.	
© Claim(s) 20 - 23		is/are a	allowed.			
$\frac{17 - 18}{9 \text{ Claim(s)}} \frac{17 - 18}{9 - 32}$		is/are r	ejected.			
19 29-32	is/are o	is/are objected to.				
□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction or €						
Application Papers		require	ment.			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I	Review, PTO-948.					
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved [	☐ disapproved	d.			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examiner.					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the</li> <li>□ received.</li> </ul>		• •				
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)						
$\hfill \square$ received in this national stage application from the International	ational Bureau (PCT F	lule 1 7.2(a)).				
*Certified copies not received:		<del></del>	•			
Attachment(e)	•					
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(	s) 🗆 Ir	nterview Sumn	nary, PTO-4	113		
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		otice of Inform	nal Patent A	\pplicati	on, PTO-152	
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	. 🗆 C	Other				
Office	Action Summary					

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.\_

Application/Control Number: 09/060,872

Art Unit: 1644

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/2/03 has been entered.

Following entry of the amendment of 5/2/03, claims 17-23 and 29-32 are pending and under examination.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The amendment has entered no new matter and has overcome 112 issues of record.

Applicant's amendment has necessitated a restatement of prior art rejection previously withdrawn.

Claims 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garman et al. (5,820,862) in view of Macatonia et al., Mehta-Damani et al. or Takamizawa et al.

Garman et al. have been previously cited for teaching identification of T-cell epitopes within a protein allergen and modification thereof (via substitution of amino acid residues) to provide peptides which induce a lowered or not any proliferative response of T-cells. Garman et al. fail to teach the use of naïve T-cells. Rather they teach epitope screening with T-cells from sensitized individuals.

Application/Control Number: 09/060,872

Art Unit: 1644

Each of the secondary references teaches that one can obtain human blood samples and derive dendritic cells (DCs) and naïve T-cells therefrom such that the DCs can present antigen to the naïve T-cells to induce a proliferative response.

It would have been obvious that one would identify epitopes within the allergen of Garman et al. by using DCs and naïve T-cells from a blood sample as taught by the secondary references. Motivation to do so would have been to conduct tests using blood cells from non-sensitized individual so that one would not need to find patients with the allergic disorder.

This rejection was previously stated in paper 30, at pages 4-6 applicant is referred to this paper for examiner's detailed comments regarding the teachings of the secondary references with respect to instant claim limitations.

Applicant's response to this rejection (amendment presented 6/4/02, paper 34) introduced limitations in claims 17 and 18 such that the differentiating of the dendirites cells (DCs) was required to occur in the presence of at least one cytokine. Applicant urged that none of the references taught that cytokines should be combined with the DCs. See page 9 of amendment filed 6/4/02.

The prior art rejection was thereupon withdrawn. Claims have been presently amended such that applicant's urgings in paper 34 cannot be taken as overcoming the prior art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Saunders, PhD whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 09/060,872

Art Unit: 1644

Page 4

(703) 308-3976. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8 am -5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Saunders/tgd July 3, 2003

David a Sacenders

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 182 /644